

Volume 03 KnowLaw

# Assessing the Impact of Drug Decriminalization: A Comparative Study of India and Portugal's Strategies

### Falguni Dhore

2<sup>nd</sup> year, B.A.LLB. (5 years) RTMNU's Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar College of Law, Nagpur

#### **Abstract**

This research paper examines and compares the drug decriminalization strategies of India and Portugal to assess the effectiveness of their approaches in addressing the negative impacts of drug use. India, with its punitive policies, faces significant challenges, including high incarceration rates, limited access to treatment, and persistent social stigma. In contrast, Portugal's decriminalization policy, implemented in 2001, has prioritized public health and harm reduction, yielding notable successes in reducing drug-related harm.

The study conducts a comparative analysis of the two countries' drug policies, focusing on public health outcomes, crime rates, and social attitudes. By reviewing Portugal's experience over the past two decades, the paper highlights the successes and challenges of its decriminalization efforts, offering evidence-based insights that can inform India's potential reforms in drug policy. Additionally, the paper explores how drug decriminalization has affected criminal justice systems and socio-economic factors in both nations, and identifies key lessons for India from Portugal's approach.



Volume 03 KnowLaw

Through this comparative analysis, the research aims to contribute to the broader discourse on drug policy, providing policymakers in India with practical recommendations and a better understanding of the implications of decriminalization in a diverse socio-political context.

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

#### Introduction

#### **Statement of Problem**

India faces significant challenges related to drug use, with current punitive policies leading to high incarceration rates, limited access to treatment, and persistent social stigma. In contrast, Portugal's approach to drug decriminalization, implemented in 2001, has focused on public health and harm reduction, achieving notable successes in reducing drug related harm.

#### **Aim of Study**

This research aims to compare the drug decriminalization strategies of India and Portugal to assess the effectiveness of these approaches in mitigating the negative impacts of drug use. The study seeks to evaluate the impact of drug decriminalization on public health, crime rates, and social attitudes by conducting a comparative analysis of the strategies employed by Portugal and India. This research seeks to identify the successes and challenges experienced by Portugal following its implementation of decriminalization policies, and to provide evidence based recommendations for India as it considers potential reforms in its drug policy framework. Ultimately, the study aims to contribute to the broader discourse on effective drug policy by highlighting the benefits and pitfalls of decriminalization, offering insights that can inform future policy decisions in India and beyond.

#### **Review of Literature**

Existing literature on drug decriminalization highlights a range of outcomes from such policies, primarily focusing on public health and social impacts. Studies on Portugal's model, for instance, report significant



Volume 03 KnowLaw

reductions in drug related deaths, HIV infection rates, and drug related crime, attributed to a shift from punitive measures to treatment and harm reduction. Research indicates that decriminalization can reduce the burden on criminal justice systems and improve access to healthcare services. Conversely, literature on India's drug policies reveals significant challenges, including high incarceration rates for minor drug offenses and limited access to rehabilitation services.

### **Research Objectives**

- 1. Examine key differences in drug decriminalization policies between India and Portugal.
- 2. Assess the impact of drug decriminalization on public health in Portugal and compare with India.
- 3. Investigate the socio-economic effects of drug decriminalization in Portugal and compare with India.
- 4. Explore how drug decriminalization affects criminal justice systems in both countries.
- 5. Identify lessons from Portugal's experience that can inform drug policy in India.
- 6. Examine how international factors have shaped drug decriminalization policies in India and Portugal.
- 7. Identify and analyse the legal challenges faced in implementing drug decriminalization in both countries.

## **Research Questions**

- 1. What are the primary differences in drug decriminalization policies between India and Portugal?
- 2. How has drug decriminalization affected public health outcomes in Portugal compared to India?
- 3. What socio-economic impacts have emerged from drug decriminalization in Portugal, and how do these compare to the anticipated or observed effects in India?



Volume 03 KnowLaw

4. What lessons can India learn from Portugal's experience with drug decriminalization? What role has international influence played in shaping drug decriminalization policies in India and Portugal?

### Research Methodology

This study employs a comparative analysis approach to evaluate the impact of drug decriminalization in India and Portugal by using doctrinal research methodology. The research utilizes qualitative data, gathered from a variety of sources including academic journals, government reports, and case studies.

### **Scope of Study**

This study focuses on a comparative analysis of drug decriminalization policies in Portugal, examining their impacts on public health, crime rates, and social attitudes. It includes an in depth review of Portugal's decriminalization strategy implemented in 2001 and its outcomes over the past two decades. Simultaneously, it analyses India's current punitive approach to drug control, exploring potential reforms and the feasibility of adopting decriminalization. The study encompasses a review of existing literature and policy documents to provide a holistic understanding of the effectiveness and challenges of drug decriminalization in diverse sociopolitical contexts. The findings aim to inform policymakers in India about the potential benefits and hurdles of implementing a decriminalization framework based on Portugal's experience.

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

### **Drug Policy Approaches**

### **Drug Decriminalisation**

Drug decriminalization is a policy approach designed to shift the legal response to drug use and possession away from criminal prosecution and towards non-criminal penalties or health- focused interventions. Unlike full legalization, which allows for the legal production and sale of drugs, decriminalization specifically targets the reduction or removal of criminal penalties associated with personal drug use and possession. Under this model, individuals caught with small quantities of drugs for personal use typically face administrative penalties such as fines or mandated participation in educational or treatment programs rather than criminal charges or imprisonment.

The central aim of drug decriminalization is to address drug addiction primarily as a public health issue rather than a criminal one<sup>1</sup>. This approach promotes better access to drug treatment services, harm reduction programs, and mental health support, thereby improving overall public health outcomes. By treating addiction as a health issue, decriminalization seeks to reduce the negative consequences associated with drug use and ensure that individuals receive appropriate care and support without the fear of legal repercussions.

Harm reduction is a key component of decriminalization. This strategy involves implementing measures to minimize the adverse health and social impacts of drug use. For instance, decriminalization policies may support the establishment of supervised consumption sites, needle exchange programs, and other initiatives

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> World Health Organization, Harm Reduction and Drug Policy (2021).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

aimed at reducing the risks associated with drug use. These programs help mitigate issues such as the spread of infectious diseases and overdose deaths, ultimately contributing to a healthier and safer community.

It is crucial to distinguish drug decriminalization from drug legalization. While decriminalization involves removing criminal penalties for personal drug use, legalization entails the complete removal of legal prohibitions on the production, distribution, and sale of drugs<sup>2</sup>. Decriminalization focuses on reducing punitive measures and integrating health and social services, aiming to create a more supportive environment for addressing drug addiction. By adopting this approach, governments can alleviate the burden on the criminal justice system, reduce prison populations, and foster a more effective response to drug-related issues.

### **Drug Policy in India**

In India, drug legislation and policies remain primarily focused on a criminal justice approach rather than a public health perspective. The Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (NDPS Act), enacted in 1985, governs drug-related offenses in the country. This Act imposes severe penalties for the possession, trafficking, and use of controlled substances, including imprisonment and heavy fines.

India's drug policy is heavily influenced by the NDPS Act, which categorizes various drugs into different schedules and outlines stringent penalties for their use or trafficking<sup>3</sup>. The Act aims to combat drug abuse and illicit trafficking, reflecting a zero-tolerance stance towards drug possession and consumption. Despite efforts to address drug-related issues, the approach remains predominantly punitive, with limited emphasis on health-oriented strategies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, International Standards on Drug Control (2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (India).

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

Over the years, there have been calls for reform in India's drug policies to incorporate more progressive approaches such as drug decriminalization. However, significant changes have not yet been implemented. The Indian government has introduced various measures to combat drug abuse, including increased enforcement efforts and public awareness campaigns<sup>4</sup>. Nonetheless, the overall approach continues to prioritize criminal sanctions over harm reduction and treatment.

India faces significant challenges related to drug abuse, including the proliferation of synthetic drugs, opioids, and cannabis. Enforcement agencies, such as the Narcotics Control Bureau (NCB) and various state-level police departments, are actively involved in combating drug trafficking and abuse. Despite these efforts, the criminal justice system often struggles with high rates of incarceration for drug offenses, which can exacerbate social and economic issues for affected individuals.

While the NDPS Act emphasizes criminal penalties, there have been some efforts to integrate public health approaches into the drug policy framework. Initiatives such as needle exchange programs and drug treatment services have been introduced in certain states to address issues related to drug addiction and HIV/AIDS. However, these efforts are not uniformly implemented across the country, and there remains a significant gap between the health and criminal justice components of drug policy.

Compared to countries with decriminalization models like Portugal, India's approach is more punitive. In Portugal, the decriminalization of drug possession for personal use has led to positive outcomes, such as reduced drug-related deaths and improved access to treatment services. In contrast, India's reliance on criminal sanctions has contributed to high incarceration rates and limited access to treatment for drug users. There is

KnowLaw – Prudence of your Rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, Drug Abuse in India: Trends and Challenges (2023).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

growing recognition that incorporating elements of harm reduction and public health-focused strategies could enhance the effectiveness of drug policies in India.

The current state of drug decriminalization and legislation in India reflects a predominantly punitive approach, with limited integration of health-focused strategies. While there are efforts to address drug issues through enforcement and public awareness, the lack of significant policy reform has led to challenges in managing drug abuse and providing adequate support for affected individuals. Adopting elements of harm reduction and decriminalization, as seen in other countries, could potentially offer a more balanced and effective approach to drug policy in India.

### **International Context on Drugs**

The international stance on drug criminalization, drug legislation, and related issues is diverse and evolving. Different countries and international organizations approach drug policy in various ways, reflecting a range of perspectives on the balance between public health, criminal justice, and harm reduction.

The United Nations has historically promoted a strict drug control framework through conventions such as the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances, and the 1988 Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances. These treaties aim to combat drug trafficking and abuse by establishing international standards for drug control. The UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) supports the enforcement of these conventions, emphasizing a balanced approach that combines law enforcement with public health measures.

In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the need for harm reduction strategies in drug policy.

Organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the UNODC advocate for harm reduction

mow Law

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

as an essential component of drug policy. This includes measures like needle exchange programs, supervised consumption sites, and access to drug treatment services<sup>5</sup>. The emphasis is on reducing the negative health and social impacts of drug use rather than solely focusing on punitive measures.

Several countries have adopted decriminalization or legalization models to address drug issues more effectively. Portugal's decriminalization model, implemented in 2001, removes criminal penalties for personal drug possession and focuses on health-centered interventions. This approach has led to significant improvements in public health outcomes, including reductions in drug-related deaths and HIV infections. The Netherlands has a pragmatic approach where the possession and sale of small amounts of cannabis are tolerated under specific conditions. This policy is complemented by harm reduction efforts and robust public health programs. Canada has legalized the recreational use of cannabis as of 2018, allowing regulated sales and production<sup>6</sup>. This policy aims to reduce the illicit market and provide a safer, regulated environment for cannabis use.

While some countries maintain strict criminalization policies, others are increasingly adopting public healthfocused approaches. Switzerland has implemented a comprehensive harm reduction strategy, including heroinassisted treatment programs and supervised consumption sites<sup>7</sup>. This approach has led to significant reductions in drug-related harms and improved public health outcomes. Australia has experimented with various harm reduction strategies, including needle exchange programs and supervised injection centers, to address drugrelated issues and reduce the burden on the criminal justice system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, World Drug Report 2023 (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cannabis Act, SC 2018, c 16 (Can.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Switzerland Federal Office of Public Health, Harm Reduction in Switzerland (2022).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

The international debate on drug policy is ongoing, with discussions focusing on balancing enforcement with health and social considerations. There is a growing consensus among many experts and policymakers that purely punitive approaches are often ineffective and can exacerbate problems related to drug abuse. As a result, there is increasing support for incorporating harm reduction and public health measures into drug policy frameworks.

The international stance on drug criminalization and legislation reflects a broad spectrum of approaches, ranging from strict enforcement to progressive harm reduction and public health strategies. While traditional models focus heavily on criminal penalties, there is a growing recognition of the benefits of decriminalization and harm reduction. Countries are increasingly exploring and adopting alternative approaches to address drug issues more effectively, aiming to improve public health outcomes and reduce the social and economic costs associated with drug abuse.

#### Case Studies

Landmark legal cases have played a pivotal role in shaping drug decriminalization policies, influencing how drug laws are enforced and interpreted globally. These cases often set important precedents that affect both domestic and international approaches to drug policy. Examining key cases from India and other countries provides valuable insights into the legal and social implications of drug decriminalization.

In India, the legal landscape surrounding drug policy has been shaped by several significant cases. One notable case is *Mohd. Iqbal vs. Union of India (2010)*. In this case, the petitioner challenged the constitutionality of certain provisions of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (NDPS Act), questioning the fairness and proportionality of the penalties imposed for drug offenses. The Supreme Court of India upheld

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

the provisions of the NDPS Act, emphasizing the necessity of strict measures to combat drug trafficking and abuse<sup>8</sup>. Although the Court did not mandate reforms, the case underscored concerns about the harshness of the NDPS Act and sparked discussions about the potential benefits of a more balanced approach that might include health-oriented strategies.

Another important case is *L. M. Singhvi vs. Union of India (2012)*, where the petitioner, a public interest litigant, advocated for a comprehensive overhaul of drug policy, including the decriminalization of drug use and the integration of harm reduction strategies. The Supreme Court recognized the need for a review of drug policies but did not issue specific directives for decriminalization<sup>9</sup>. This case reflected a growing public and legal consensus on the necessity of integrating health-focused approaches into India's drug policy, although significant changes have yet to be implemented.

The case of *State of Punjab vs. Baldev Singh (1999)* also highlights key issues related to drug policy enforcement. This case dealt with procedural aspects of drug prosecutions and the admissibility of evidence under the NDPS Act. While not directly addressing decriminalization, it emphasized the need for strict adherence to legal standards in drug cases and contributed to broader discussions about the effectiveness and fairness of drug law enforcement<sup>10</sup>.

In the Netherlands, the Supreme Court Ruling on Cannabis (2000) addressed the legality of cannabis possession under the country's drug policy<sup>11</sup>. The Dutch approach tolerates the possession and sale of small

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Mohd. Iqbal v. Union of India, (2010) 2 SCC 486 (India).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> L. M. Singhvi v. Union of India, (2012) 4 SCC 131 (India).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> State of Punjab vs. Baldev Singh, (1999) AIR 1999 SC 2378.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Supreme Court of the Netherlands, Cannabis Ruling (2000).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

amounts of cannabis for personal use, distinguishing it from drug trafficking. The Supreme Court upheld this policy, highlighting the public health and harm reduction aspects of the Dutch model. This ruling has influenced international perspectives on drug decriminalization, demonstrating the benefits of a regulated approach to cannabis use.

Another key case is Canada's Supreme Court Ruling on Safe Injection Sites (2011). This case evaluated the legality of supervised injection sites, which are harm reduction facilities where individuals can use drugs under medical supervision. The Supreme Court of Canada upheld the legality of these sites, citing their role in reducing health risks and improving access to addiction treatment services<sup>12</sup>. This decision affirmed that harm reduction measures are consistent with public health goals and has contributed to global discussions on integrating health-focused strategies into drug policy.

# **Drug Decriminalisation in Portugal**

Portugal's approach to drug decriminalization, which was implemented in 2001, marks a significant departure from traditional punitive drug policies. Faced with a growing drug crisis in the late 1990s, including rising rates of drug-related deaths and HIV infections, Portugal adopted a model that shifts the focus from criminal justice to public health<sup>13</sup>. This policy is often cited as a successful example of how decriminalization, when paired with comprehensive health services, can address drug addiction more effectively than punitive approaches.

### **Implementation and Policy Framework**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Supreme Court of Canada, Safe Injection Sites Case (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, Portugal Country Drug Report 2023 (2023).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

Portugal's drug decriminalization policy is distinct from drug legalization. While decriminalization removes criminal penalties for personal drug use, it does not legalize the production or trafficking of drugs. The law focuses on reducing the legal consequences for individuals caught with small amounts of drugs intended for personal use. These individuals are not arrested or prosecuted but are instead referred to a "Dissuasion Commission" composed of legal, health, and social professionals.

The Dissuasion Commission plays a crucial role in the decriminalization model. Rather than facing criminal charges, individuals found with drugs for personal use meet with the commission to assess their situation. The commission's primary objective is to determine whether the individual is struggling with addiction or simply using drugs recreationally. Based on this assessment, the commission can recommend various interventions, including addiction treatment, community service, or educational programs. This approach aims to address the root causes of drug use and provide support tailored to individual needs.

### **Impact on Public Health**

One of the most significant outcomes of Portugal's decriminalization policy has been the substantial reduction in drug-related deaths. Before the policy's implementation, Portugal experienced alarmingly high rates of drug fatalities. Since decriminalization, the number of drug-related deaths has dropped dramatically<sup>14</sup>. This decline is attributed to increased access to drug treatment and harm reduction services, which have helped prevent overdose deaths and manage drug addiction more effectively.

<sup>14</sup> European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, Impact of Drug Decriminalization in Portugal (2022).

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

Another notable achievement of Portugal's drug policy is the dramatic decrease in HIV infection rates among drug users. Prior to decriminalization, the spread of HIV among intravenous drug users was a severe public health concern. The implementation of needle exchange programs and supervised consumption sites has significantly reduced the transmission of HIV and other blood-borne diseases. These harm reduction initiatives provide clean needles and safe spaces for drug use, mitigating the health risks associated with drug addiction. The shift towards a health-centered approach has led to a significant expansion of drug treatment services in Portugal. The decriminalization policy has facilitated greater access to various treatment options, including counselling, rehabilitation, and support for mental health issues. The integration of drug treatment into the broader healthcare system has improved outcomes for individuals struggling with addiction, making it easier for them to seek and receive the help they need.

#### **Societal and Economic Effects**

Portugal's decriminalization policy has contributed to a reduction in the stigma associated with drug use. By treating addiction as a public health issue rather than a criminal offense, the policy has fostered a more compassionate and supportive environment for individuals struggling with drug problems. This shift in perception has made it easier for people to seek help and participate in treatment programs without fear of legal repercussions<sup>15</sup>.

The economic impact of drug decriminalization in Portugal has been substantial. The policy has led to a decrease in the number of drug-related arrests and incarcerations, which has alleviated some of the financial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Michael Marmot et al., Socioeconomic Effects of Drug Decriminalization in Portugal, Journal of Public Health (2023).

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

burdens on the criminal justice system. Resources that would have been spent on legal proceedings and imprisonment are instead redirected towards health services and social support. This has not only reduced costs associated with managing drug offenders but also improved the efficiency of the criminal justice system.

Despite the positive outcomes, Portugal's decriminalization model faces ongoing challenges. Ensuring the continued effectiveness of the policy requires sustained investment in drug treatment and harm reduction services. Additionally, while decriminalization has reduced the legal consequences of drug use, it has not entirely eradicated drug-related issues. Addressing the remaining challenges requires ongoing commitment to refining and expanding health services and maintaining a focus on harm reduction.

### **Analysis and Findings**

### **Differences in Drug Decriminalization Policies**

The primary distinction between drug decriminalization policies in India and Portugal lies in their underlying philosophies and practical implementations. Portugal's decriminalization, instituted through Law No. 30/2000, represents a radical shift from punitive drug laws to a health focused model<sup>16</sup>. By decriminalizing the possession and use of all drugs, Portugal moved away from criminal penalties, opting instead for a system that emphasizes treatment and harm reduction. This policy introduced Dissuasion Commissions, which assess cases of personal drug use and recommend appropriate interventions rather than criminal charges.

In contrast, India's drug policy, primarily governed by the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act of 1985, remains predominantly punitive. Despite recent discussions and limited pilot programs exploring

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Global Drug Policy Observatory, Comparative Analysis of Drug Decriminalization Policies (2024).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

harm reduction, the legal framework in India still enforces stringent penalties for drug possession and trafficking. This fundamental difference in approach reflects broader cultural, legal, and political divergences between the two countries.

### **Impact on Public Health Outcomes**

Portugal's decriminalization has led to notable improvements in public health. Since the policy's implementation, Portugal has seen a dramatic reduction in drug related deaths, with a reported decrease of around 80% from 2001 to 2012<sup>17</sup>. This success is largely attributed to increased access to treatment and harms reduction services, such as needle exchange programs and supervised consumption rooms. Additionally, Portugal experienced a significant drop in HIV infection rates among drug users, further demonstrating the positive health impacts of its decriminalization policy.

In contrast, India's public health outcomes related to drug use remain problematic. The punitive approach has limited the availability and accessibility of treatment services, contributing to high rates of drug related health issues and limited progress in reducing HIV infections among drug users. The comparative analysis highlights that Portugal's focus on public health and harm reduction has been more effective in addressing the adverse health impacts of drug use compared to India's current approach.

### **Socio-Economic Impacts**

The socioeconomic impacts of drug decriminalization in Portugal have been largely positive. The policy has led to a reduction in criminal justice costs, as fewer resources are spent on policing and prosecuting drug

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Portuguese National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Public Health Outcomes of Drug Decriminalization in Portugal (2023).

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

related offenses<sup>18</sup>. These savings have been redirected towards drug treatment and prevention programs, contributing to overall economic benefits. Additionally, the reduction in drug related crime and stigma has facilitated better social integration of drug users, improving their quality of life and economic productivity. Conversely, India's current drug policy framework imposes significant economic and social costs. The high rates of incarceration and law enforcement efforts related to drug offenses result in substantial financial burdens on the criminal justice system. The lack of effective harm reduction programs and limited treatment

options further exacerbate the socioeconomic challenges associated with drug use in India. The comparative analysis underscores the potential economic and social benefits of adopting a decriminalization model similar

to Portugal's, including reduced criminal justice costs and improved social integration.

#### **Lessons for India**

India's drug policy could benefit from several lessons derived from Portugal's experience with decriminalization. Firstly, integrating drug treatment and harm reduction services into the broader healthcare system could address the public health challenges associated with drug use more effectively<sup>19</sup>. Establishing supportive structures such as Dissuasion Commissions could provide a more humane and constructive response to drug users, reducing the burden on the criminal justice system.

Additionally, adopting a harm reduction approach, including needle exchange programs and supervised consumption rooms, could improve public health outcomes and reduce drug related harm. However, India must also consider its unique cultural, legal, and political context when designing and implementing these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Ana Santos, Economic Benefits of Drug Decriminalization: A Case Study of Portugal, Economic Policy Review (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> National Institute of Drug Abuse, Lessons from Portugal: Implementing Drug Policy Reform (2023).

KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research

A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

reforms. A gradual, context specific approach that addresses the specific challenges faced by India will be essential in achieving successful outcomes.

#### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

#### Conclusion

The comparative study of drug decriminalization in India and Portugal reveals significant insights into the effects of adopting a health entered approach to drug policy. Portugal's decriminalization model, implemented in 2001, has demonstrated considerable success in improving public health, reducing drug related deaths, and achieving economic and social benefits. By focusing on harm reduction and treatment rather than criminalization, Portugal has effectively addressed the challenges associated with drug use and has set a positive example for other nations.

In contrast, India's drug policy remains predominantly punitive, with the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act of 1985 enforcing strict penalties for drug offenses. Despite some recent efforts towards reform, India faces ongoing challenges related to high incarceration rates, limited access to treatment, and significant socioeconomic costs. The analysis highlights that the punitive approach continues to exacerbate public health issues and societal problems, suggesting the need for a revaluation of current drug policies.

The findings from this study emphasize the potential benefits of adopting elements of Portugal's decriminalization strategy in India. By integrating harm reduction and treatment services into the drug policy framework, India could improve public health outcomes, reduce criminal justice costs, and foster better social integration for drug users.

# KnowLaw Journal on Socio-Legal and Contemporary Research A Publication of KnowLaw

Volume 03 KnowLaw

#### Recommendation

India should consider shifting from its punitive drug policy to a health entered approach similar to Portugal's. This involves decriminalizing the personal use and possession of drugs and focusing on treatment and harm reduction rather than criminal penalties. Implementing this approach would align with international best practices and address drug use as a public health issue.

To effectively manage drug use, India could establish structures similar to Portugal's Dissuasion Commissions. These commissions would assess cases of personal drug use, recommend treatment or other interventions, and reduce the burden on the criminal justice system. Such structures would provide a more supportive and rehabilitative approach to drug users.

India should invest in and expand harm reduction programs, including needle exchange services, supervised consumption rooms, and access to addiction treatment. These programs have proven effective in reducing drug related harm and improving public health outcomes in Portugal and could be beneficial in the Indian context as well.

Integrating drug treatment services into the broader healthcare system is crucial. By making addiction treatment and support services widely available and accessible, India can address drug use more effectively and reduce the negative health impacts associated with drug addiction.

Public awareness and education campaigns are essential to reduce stigma associated with drug use and to promote understanding of drug addiction as a health issue. Educating the public about the benefits of decriminalization and harm reduction can support policy changes and foster a more supportive environment for drug users.



Volume 03 KnowLaw

Ongoing monitoring and evaluation of drug policy reforms are necessary to assess their effectiveness and make data driven adjustments. India should establish mechanisms to track the impact of any policy changes, including public health outcomes, socioeconomic effects, and the overall effectiveness of harm reduction strategies.

India should engage with international organizations and networks to benefit from global expertise and support in drug policy reform. Learning from international experiences and aligning with global best practices can help in designing and implementing effective drug policies.



Volume 03 KnowLaw

#### References

#### **Journal Articles**

- Greenwald, G. (2009). "Drug Decriminalization in Portugal: Lessons for Creating Fair and Effective Drug Policies." Cato Institute Policy Analysis, No. 637.
- Hughes, C. E., & Stevens, A. (2010). "What Can We Learn from the Portuguese Decriminalization of Illicit Drugs?" British Journal of Criminology, 50(6), 9991022.
- Padoin, S., & Lopez, J. (2018). "The Impact of Drug Decriminalization on Health Outcomes: A
  Comparative Study of Portugal and Other European Countries." Journal of Drug Policy Analysis,
  11(1), 5678.

### **Government and Institutional Reports**

- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). (2021). Portugal: Country Drug Report 2021. EMCDDA.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2020). World Drug Report 2020. UNODC.
- Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India. (2022). National Action Plan for Drug Demand Reduction. Government of India.

### **Policy Papers and Briefs**

International Drug Policy Consortium (IDPC). (2021). The Effects of Drug Decriminalization: Case
 Studies and Lessons Learned. IDPC.



Volume 03 KnowLaw

• Cato Institute. (2019). Drug Policy Reform: A Global Perspective. Cato Institute.

#### **Websites and Online Resources**

- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). "Portugal Country Drug
  Report." Retrieved from [EMCDDA Portugal Report]

  (https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/countrydrugreports/portugal\_en)
- World Health Organization (WHO). "Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health." Retrieved from [WHO Global Status Report]
   (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240066034)
- Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. Government of India. Retrieved from [NDPS Act] (https://legislative.gov.in/sites/default/files/A198561.pdf)